UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,586 | 03/27/2006 | Seiji Oka | DKUS030767 | 9457 |
| | 7590 04/30/200 OUNSELORS, LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 1233 20TH STI | REET, NW, SUITE 70 | | OREILLY, PATRICK F | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3749 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/573,586 | OKA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Patrick F. O'Reilly III | 3749 | |

| | Patrick F. O'Reilly III | 3749 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box 0 or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | on. LED WITHIN TWO | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the corresponding | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c | isideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially reconstructions or responding number of finally reje | ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | | , | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil | • | - | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10 and 14-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | , - (-/- | | | | | |
| /Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | /Patrick F. O'Reilly III/ Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new substantive issues that would require a further consideration on the merits and an additional search. Thus, the amendments to the claims will not entered.